

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/724,961	11/28/2000	Dale B. Schenk	15270J-004752US	9453	
20350	7590 03/12/2002	ND CDEW LLD			
	TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			TURNER, SHARON L		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1647		
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002	: 8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/724,961

Schenk

Examiner

Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D.

Art Unit **1647**



- seed with the correspondence address -				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -				
(PIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM				
n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will				
and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
on-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
is/are pending in the applica				
is/are withdrawn from considera				
is/are allowed.				
is/are rejected.				
is/are objected to.				
are subject to restriction and/or election requirem				
ected to by the Examiner.				
is: a□ approved b)□disapproved.				
der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 4. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
Nule 17.2(a)).				
d copies not received.				
d copies not received. under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				

Application/Control Number: 09724961 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-30 are pending.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-23 and 26 drawn respectively to a method of treating with an antibody, classified for example in class 424, subclass 130.1.
- II. Claim 24-25 drawn to a method of treating with a nucleic acid, classified for example in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- III. Claims 27-30 drawn to an antibody pharmaceutical composition, classified for example in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 4. Inventions I-II are related as processes. The processes are distinct each from the other as the processes differ in reagents, steps, functions and effects, for example nucleic acids capable of hybridization and antibodies capable of binding and effecting an immune response.
- 5. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the antibody can alternatively be practiced with nucleic acids (as claimed) or may be utilized in the alternative process of a detection assay.

Application/Control Number: 09724961 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any Group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct groups of species of the claimed invention: 1) selected from antibodies which are A) human, B) humanized, or C) mouse, 2) selected from antibodies which are A) Polyclonal or B) Monoclonal and 3) selected from antibodies which are A) IgG1, B) IgG4, or C) IgG3.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each of species groups 1-3 for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of one from each of the groups of species specified that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

Application/Control Number: 09724961 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

accompanied by an election. For example, a fully responsive species election could specify that the antibodies were human, monoclonal and IgG1. The examiner acknowledges that a Polyclonal designation could include each of the specified species of group 3. However, Applicant should still specify that if the polyclonal sera is selected that it possess at least one of the three designated species of IgG as claimed.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention and species to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

Art Unit: 1647

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-0056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (703) 308-4623.

Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. March 8, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600